ELECTRIC DIPOLE MOMENTS AND MOLECULAR STRUCTURE OF ALIPHATIC NITRO COMPOUNDS AND OXIMES

S. SOUNDARARAJAN

Department of Inorganic and Physical Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore-12

(Received 6 June 1963; in revised form 19 August 1963)

Abstract—Using the treatment of Smith et al. charge distributions in and consequently the dipole moments of some aliphatic nitro compounds and oximes have been evaluated. The mesomeric moment derived as a difference between the calculated and the observed values gives a clear picture as to how the positive (+M) and the negative (-M) mesomeric effects operate in such systems.

INTRODUCTION

THOUGH electric moment¹⁻⁴ and other studies⁵⁻⁷ have given satisfactory proof of mesomerism in nitro compounds and oximes, no attempt has so far been made to evaluate this effect with any degree of accuracy after allowing for induction in these compounds. In this paper the treatment of Smith *et al.*⁸ has been used for calculating the electric moments of a number of aliphatic nitro compounds and oximes so as to evaluate the extent of mesomerism in these compounds (Tables 1-5).

Bond	$eta_{ t ab}$	%ab	Basic molecule	Moment used to derive γ _{ab}
н—с	0.130	0.00		
CI_C	0.710	-1.49	Methyl chloride	1-86D
O ₂ N—C	0.243	-2.65	Nitromethane	3-54D
HON==C	0-583	0.758	Acetaldoxime	0·75D
H ₂ N—C	0.243	1.100	Methylamine	1·28D
C—C	$\beta_{\rm c}^{\ \ c}=0.718$	$\alpha_{c \cdot c} = 0$	–	_

TABLE 1. PARAMETERS USED IN CALCULATING THE CHARGE DISTRIBUTION BY THE SRME METHOD

DISCUSSION

Nitro compounds. In nitro compounds, the C—N and N—O valence bonds are coplanar the ONO angle being near 120°. The partial double bond character of the NO links is due to the electrons that are fed into the delocalized NO bonding molecular orbitals. Though the π electrons are fed also into an O \leftrightarrow , O antibonding π^* type M.O., this does not significantly affect the π bonding effect which is shown by the

¹ L. G. Wesson, Tables of Electric Dipole Moments. The Technology Press (1948).

² K. E. Calderbank and R. J. W. LeFevre, J. Chem. Soc. 1462 (1949).

^a A. Audsley and F. R. Goss, J. Chem. Soc. Supp. Issue No. 1, 5228 (1949).

⁴ R. Raman and S. Soundararajan, Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci. 47, 357 (1958).

⁵ N. V. Sidgewick, The Chemical Elements and Their Compounds, Vol. I, p. 696. Oxford (1949),

⁶ J. Donohue, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 78, 4172 (1956).

⁷ B. Jerslov, Nature, Lond. 180, 1410 (1957).

^{*} R. P. Smith, T. Ree, L. Magee and H. Eyring, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 73, 2263 (1951).

COMPOUNDS
MITEO
ALIPHATIC
Z
DISTRIBUTION
CHARGE
FORMAL
ч
TABLE

			IABLE 2.	FORMAL CHAI	RGE DISTRI	BUTION	TABLE 2. FORMAL CHARGE DISTRIBUTION IN ALIPHATIC NITRO COMPOUNDS	MITKO COMP	OUNDS			
						1-Chloro			1-Chloro-			
Atom or	Chloro-	Nitro	Nitro	Chloronitro- Nitro-	Nitro-	2-nitro	1-Nitro	2-Nitro	1-nitro	1-Nitro	2-Nitro	Nitro-t
group	picrin	form	methane	methane	ethane	ethane	propane	propane	propane	butane	butane	butane
Halogen	600-0		1	-0.162	1	-0.811	l	1	-0.355	1	ŀ	1
Nitrogroup	-2.137	-1.610	-2.256	-2.196	-2:324	-2.285	-2.330	-2.373	-2.262	-2.330	-2.376	-2.408
Carbon-1	2.111	4.277	1.623	1.871	1.341	0.956	1.318	0.389	1.599	1-316	0.384	966-0
Carbon-2	ı	ļ	I	ı	0-457	1.502	0.386	1-142	0.468	0.380	1.126	0.339
Carbon-3	1	!	1	1	i	١	0.131	0.389	0.159	0-111	0.330	
Carbon-4	ı	1	I	1	1	I	l		1	0.038	0.112	ı
Hydrogen-1	!	0.556	0.211	0.243	0.174	0.124	0.171	0.051	0.208	0.171	0.050	0.044
Hydrogen-2	I	1	I	I	0.029	0.195	0.050	0.149	0.061	0.049	0.146	Ì
Hydrogen-3	ì	1	1	1	1	İ	0.017	0-051	0-021	0.015	0.043	ĺ
Hydrogen-4	I	1	I	I	l	ļ	1	١	l	0.002	0.015	ļ
				TABLE 3. FC	NRMAL CHA	IRGE DIST	TABLE 3. FORMAL CHARGE DISTRIBUTION IN OXIMES	OXIMES				
						2	Methyl propyl-	. Methyl ethyl-	ethyl-			
Atom or group	dno.	Glyoxime		Methylglyoxime Dimethylglyoxime	Jimethylg ly	yoxime	glyoxime	glyoxime	ime	Formamidoxime		Acetoxime
NOH group-1		-0.500		-0-535	-0.543		-0.546	-0.547	47	098-0-	Ī	-0.583
NOH group-1'		-0.500	I	-0.510	-0.543		-0.544	-0.546	46	ļ		1
Glyoxime carbon-	bon-1	0.443		0.383	0.369	_	0.363	0.363	63	-0.175		0.300
, carl	carbon-2	j		0.131	0.126		0.105	0.106	90	١		0.102
carl	carbon-3	1		ı	I		0-031	0.036	36	l		1
Carl	carbon-4				1		0.010	I	,	1		1
Glyoxime carbon-1	bon-1'	0-443		0-425	0-369	_	0-367	0.364	2	1		1
carl	carbon-2'	1		1	0.126		0.125	0.113	13	l	_	0.102
Hydrogen-1'		0.057		1	ĺ		1	I	,	-0.023		ı
Hydrogen-2		1		0.017	0.016		0-014	0.014	14	I	_	0-013
Hydrogen-3		i		ı	1		0.00 400.0	0.005	95	1		1
Hydrogen-4		1		I	Ī		0.001	1		J		1
Hydrogen-1'		0.057		0.055	1		1	ļ		l		1
Hydrogen-2'		1		į	910-0		0.016	0.015	15	ŗ		0.013
Amino group		I		1	Ī		1	-		1.058		1

Molecule	Bond angle in degrees		Bond distance in Å	
Chloronitromethane	CI-C-N	110°	С—Н	1·09Å
	н_с_н	109·5°	C—Cl C—N	1·77 1·49
Chloropicrin	ci-c-cı	110·8°	C—Cl C—N	1·75 1·59
Glyoxime	C-C=N	120°	С—Н	1.073

Formamidoxime

Acetoxime

120°

120°

130°

110°

120°

120°

120°

C=N

C-N

С—Н

C=N

C=N

С—Н

1.27

1.47

1.07

1.29

1.29

1.07

TABLE 4. BOND ANGLES AND BOND DISTANCES USED FOR CALCULATING MOMENT

TABLE 5. CALCULATED AND OBSERVED ELECTRIC MOMENTS OF ALIPHATIC NITRO COMPOUNDS AND OXIMES

Molecule	Dipole mo		Difference in	
	Calculated	Observed	Debyes	
Nitro Compounds				
Chloropicrin	3.41	1·80(B)	1.61	
-		1·88(G)	[
Nitroform	3.01	2.71	-0.30	
		2.61	-0.40	
Chloronitromethane	3.39	2·91(G)	-0 ⋅48	
Nitroethane	3.61	3·58(G)	−0 ·03	
1-Chloro-2-nitroethane	2·02(Z)	3·33(G)	_	
	4·92(U)		1	
1-Nitropropane	3·58(Z)	3·57(G)	-0.01*	-0.07•
	3-64(U)	3·70(G)	0-12*	0.06a
		3·72(G)	0-14*	0.08a
2-Nitropropane	3.63	3·73(G)	0-10	
Nitro t-butane	4.07	3.71	-0⋅36	
Oximes				
Glyoxime	1.21	1·22(D)	0.01	
Methylglyoxime	1.22	0·88(D)	-0.34	
Dimethylglyoxime	1.23	1·38(D)	0-17	
Acetoxime	0.77	0·88(D)	0.11	
Formamidoxime	2.27	2·24(D)	-0.03	

The geometry of the carbon skeleton assumed to arrive at the alternative values of calculated moments is indicated by capital letters in brackets thus: (U) conforms to structure and (Z) to structure

^{*} Difference from the calculated value of 3.58. * Difference from the calculated value of 3.64.

experimental data on bond lengths and vibrational frequencies of nitro compounds. In valence bond terms the groups may be represented by two equally stable resonating structures

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} O & & O^- \\ -N & \text{and} & -N \\ \hline O & & O \end{array}$$

the nitro group moment lying along the axis of the group bond which bisects the ONO angle.

The close accord between the values of resultant moment using the method of Smith et al.8 and the observed moments, in the cases of nitroethane and 1 and 2 nitropropanes shows that the effects other than that of induction in these compounds are negligible. In nitro-butane, the calculated value is slightly higher than the observed value, a trend which is significant when the substituent is an electronegative halogen as in the cases of chloronitro methane and chloropicrin, of which the latter has the lowest observed moment. The presence of three nitro groups has a similar effect in nitro form. It has recently been shown that the high negative potentials of lone pairs of first row elements are reduced by delocalization towards nearby nuclei, e.g., double bonding. 9,10 Thus in a molecule like chloropicrin since the carbon chlorine bond is unequally shared, an increase occurs in the numerical coefficient of the local electron denuded carbon atom with the result the local antibonding orbitals at the carbon atom are greatly stabilized by electron withdrawal from nitrogen, owing to increased effective nuclear charge, i.e., the reactive lone pair on nitrogen then overlaps with the electron deficient antibonding orbital of another C—Cl bond, the ability of utilizing the antibonding orbitals being maximum in the case of carbon, whereas the tendency to release electrons being maximum in the case of nitrogen. Such a M.O. description explains the net mesomeric moments which decrease in the order chloropicrin > chloronitromethane > nitroform > nitrotertbutane. In the case of 1 chloro 2 nitro ethane the possibility of rotation of groups precludes the assessment of the mesomeric

Oximes. The structure of oximes corresponds closely with the classical formula⁶

The agreement between the calculated value using Smith et al. scheme and the observed value for acetoxime clearly reveals its covalent structure which is confirmed by X-ray, 11 infrared 12 and Raman spectrum 13 studies. From the planar structure of formamidoxime as shown by precise structure analysis, 14 it was indicated that it is best represented as a resonance hybrid, the predominant forms being

⁹ E. A. C. Luken, J. Chem. Soc. 2954 (1959).

¹⁰ J. F. A. Williams, Trans. Faraday Soc. 57, 2089 (1961).

¹¹ J. D. Dunitz and J. H. Robertson, Ann. Repts. 49, 378 (1952).

¹⁸ A. M. Buswell, W. H. Rodebush and M. F. Roy, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 60, 2239, 2444, 2528 (1938).

¹⁸ H. J. Bernstein and W. H. Martin, Trans. Roy. Soc., Canada III 31, 95 (1937).

¹⁴ D. Hall and F. S. Llewellyn, Acta Cryst. 9, 108 (1950).

It is clear however from the close accord between the calculated and the observed values that formamidoxime is best represented by structure I. The same is true of glyoxime and a negative mesomeric moment in the case of methyl glyoxime is anomalous though stabilization of antibonding orbitals by double bonding is not ruled out as in the case of halonitromethanes.

Acknowledgement-The author thanks Professor M. R. A. Rao for his kind interest and encouragement in this work.